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Abstract. During the summer of 1976 a regional groundwater survey was undertaken in an area of 18,000 km² in southwestern Saskatchewan. Approximately 940 domestic wells and springs were sampled at a density of 1 per 13 km², where possible. The samples were analyzed for up to 30 variables, including He, CH₄, and many trace and minor elements. The results of the survey are related to regional topographic, geological, and hydrological features. Of particular interest are CH₄ and He anomalies associated with known gas and oil reservoirs in the region. Several anomalies of similar magnitude occur away from known reservoirs and hence may point to new ones. The close association of CH₄ with He, sample depth and deeper "softer" waters, as indicated by the positive correlations of CH₄ with He, Na, CO₂, HCO₃⁻ and sample depth is postulated to be a good criterion for distinguishing between reservoir CH₄ and marsh gas. The coincidence of CH₄ and He anomalies with known tectonic features also indicates fracture leakage from depth and the possible existence of oil and gas fields. Regional surveys of domestic well waters can be of use in delineating areas with proven and potential oil and gas reservoirs at depth.

Introduction

The publication of Oil and Gas in Saskatchewan, the seventh special publication of the Saskatchewan Geological Society (Lorsong and Wilson, 1984), inspired a closer look at CH₄ (methane) data obtained during a regional well water survey of the Cypress Hills area (Dyck, 1980b). At the time of the survey it seemed self-evident that the gas and oil fields in the area would be reflected by anomalous CH₄ and possibly other trace elements and gases in the groundwaters. However, the usefulness of hydrocarbon and He gases in surficial environments for the search of petroleum deposits is still in debate. The main problem is not in detecting gas anomalies but in interpreting them (Philip and Crisp, 1982). Methane alone is not considered to be a reliable indicator because it is also produced in microbiological processes occurring in soils and sediments. This CH₄ can confuse or mask CH₄ from a hydrocarbon deposit. Higher C homologues, primarily C₂-C₆, aid in distinguishing between biogenic and reservoir CH₄ (Philip and Crisp, 1982), but they occur in much lower concentrations and hence are often not detectable. Carbon isotopes can also aid in distinguishing between these two types of CH₄. (Goth, 1985; Faber and Stahl, 1984) but add considerably to the analytical cost of a survey. Hickey et al. (1983) have applied integrative gas chromatography/mass spectrometry/pattern recognition procedures to characterize fault leakage of C homologues associated with producing areas of petroleum.

During the course of development of reconnaissance uranium exploration techniques, Dyck (1980a) and Dyck et al. (1976a) carried out several regional well water surveys and analyzed these waters for a large number of trace elements and dissolved gases. The first pilot study of this nature involved 130 domestic wells near Ottawa, Ontario. A weak positive CH₄-He correlation was observed in one part of the survey area but was dismissed as coincidental because there was no corresponding correlation of CH₄ with depth as there was for He and depth. The conclusion in this case was that He from depth was mixing with CH₄ produced biogenically at the surface.

A more extensive well water survey in eastern maritime Canada (Dyck et al., 1976a) gave no correlation between CH₄ and He, although the spent oil field in the Moncton, New Brunswick, area produced a strong CH₄ anomaly. As in the case of the Ottawa region, several weak CH₄ anomalies were attributed to marsh gas because of coincident swamps and the lack of any depth-CH₄ correlation.

The well water reconnaissance survey in the Cypress Hills area of Saskatchewan revealed extensive regional CH₄ and He anomaly patterns. Not only do these patterns correlate with each other, but both He and CH₄ correlate with depth (the deeper the well, the higher the dissolved gas content), with major tectonic features, and with known gas and oil fields. This report describes these anomalies in detail, relates them to regional tectonic features, and employs other geochemical indicators to show that the CH₄ anomalies are not related to marsh gas near the surface but rather to gas or oil fields at depth. The close association of He and CH₄, and major tectonic features may also indicate outgassing of the crust through deep faults (Gold, 1979).

The Survey Area

The area surveyed lies in the extreme southwestern corner of Saskatchewan (Figure 1) and straddles the northwestern edge of the Williston basin, a major gas and oil producing region in the midwestern United States and Canada. The topography is dominated by the Cypress Hills, a remnant of a large plateau that has been extensively eroded during the last 80 million years. The hills rise steeply from the surrounding plains in the west and north at 520 to 760 m, to a plateau with a maximum elevation of 1400 m.
The plateau dips gently southeastward to the level of the plains. The hills form a divide separating two major watersheds. North of the divide the South Saskatchewan River drains into Hudson Bay, and in the south the Missouri River drains into the Gulf of Mexico. Most of the northward flowing creeks within the Cypress Hills plateau contribute to an interior drainage pattern that flows into a series of alkaline lakes located north of the study area. On the southern slopes of the plateau, most of the creeks flow into an east-
west drainage channel consisting of man-made Cypress Lake and the Frenchman River. Drainages west of Cypress Lake, Battle Creek, and Middle Creek flow southeastward into the United States.

The climate of the plains surrounding the Cypress Hills is semiarid. This area has the lowest precipitation, less than 30 cm, and the highest temperatures found anywhere in the Canadian prairies. In the Cypress Hills the climatic conditions are like those found in the valleys and slopes of the Rockies. There is a greater amount of precipitation, cooler temperatures, and less evaporation here than is found in the surrounding plains.

General Geology

Sediments washed from the mountains to the west during periods of uplift were deposited under fluviatile and marine conditions to form the rock sequence of the present day Cypress Hills.

The sediments exposed within the study area are those of the Judith River, Bearpaw, Eastend, Whiteclay, Frenchman River, Cypress Hills, and reworked Cypress Hills formations, and glacial drift (Figure 1). These predominantly sandy sediments range in age from Upper Cretaceous (Judith River through the Frenchman formations) to Pleistocene (glacial drift). The oldest outcropping sediments occur in the west of the survey area and comprise the Judith River sandstones and other formations in the survey area, while younger sediments are found in the surrounding plains. 150 million m$^3$ (Saskatchewan Energy and Mines, 1983). At the time this study was conducted, about 15% of the oil had been recovered. A major gas pool (Hatton) occurs in the northwestern part of the study area, north of Maple Creek (Figure 1), and extends a further 70 km to the north. No other gas pool has been defined in southwestern Saskatchewan.

According to van Delinger (1978), oil in basal Cretaceous sand reservoirs appears to represent migrated hydrocarbons from older beds, most probably the Jurassic Upper Shaunavon. Commercial gas production in southwestern Saskatchewan comes from a relatively few reservoirs found in the Cretaceous Colorado and Montana groups. Christopher (1974) and Simpson (1982) have postulated that additional gas reservoirs may be present in marine Cretaceous sandstones and other formations in the Cypress area. Similar predictions have been made by Rice and Shurr (1978, 1980) for the Williston basin in the United States. These known and postulated fields are believed to be responsible for the strong CH$\alpha$ and He anomalies in the well waters discussed below.

The source of He is the decay of U and Th in basement rocks (Hitchon, 1963). Burwash and Cumming (1974, 1976) have postulated uranium-rich porphyritic granites along the Precambrian-Cambrian unconformity and circulating formation fluids for the He rich natural gas occurrence in hole B.A. Wilhem 1-9, located 20 km NE of the survey area (14 km north of Swift Current). The gases produced an inert gas mixture composed of 97% N$_2$, 2% He, and 1% CO$_2$ (Sawatzky et al., 1960).

Uraniferous lignite coal seams similar to those in the Dakotas (Denson and Gill, 1965) have been found and investigated in the Cypress Hills area from time to time (Cameron and Birmingham, 1970; Little and Ruzicka, 1970). They occur in the Ravenscrag Formation in narrow bands usually less than 25 cm thick, but thicknesses of 30 m have been encountered. However, thickness and grades encountered have not warranted commercial exploitation of U.

Hydrogeochemistry

Average annual precipitation in the Cypress Hills area ranges from 25 cm north and south of the hills to 45 cm over the hills. This shift in the water balance has a marked effect on the chemical composition of the well waters. Fresh, weakly mineralized waters on the hills gradually turn into mineralized waters in the plains. Evaporation is one of the factors in concentrating trace elements in groundwaters in the plains.
area. As these waters become more mineralized, their composition tends toward that of seawater. Sinking groundwaters tend to change from Ca- and Mg-rich "hard" to Na-rich "soft" waters as a result of base exchange with clays. Waters in the area are predominantly alkaline because of the nature of the rocks. Mixing of young waters with older, more mineralized waters from depth also contributes to a rise in element concentrations in the wells. The presence of coal and gaseous hydrocarbons in the Ravenscrag and Frenchman formations promote sulphate reduction and results in poorly oxygenated waters. For a more detailed description of Saskatchewan groundwaters, the reader is referred to Rutherford (1966) and Whitaker (1977).

**Sampling Procedures**

Approximately 940 domestic wells and springs were sampled during the summer of 1976. The location of the surveyed area is shown in Figure 1. A sample density of one sample per 13 km² was maintained, where possible, over the 18,000 km² survey area. The sample density is somewhat less in the south because of a lack of wells.

Samples were taken from domestic wells, pasture stock wells, and in some areas from springs. At each site, three bottles were filled slowly, completely, and carefully by removing aerators from kitchen taps and letting the water run for about half a minute prior to sampling. To one 310-mL glass bottle was added, prior to collection of the sample, a 2-mL aliquot of 8 M HNO₃ to prevent bacteria from consuming CH₄ during shipment and storage. The unacidified sample from another 310 mL bottle was analyzed in the field laboratory as soon as possible after collection. The acidified sample and a spare unacidified sample were shipped to Ottawa for analysis of dissolved gases and trace and minor elements.

**Analytical Procedures**

The procedures adopted for this survey were similar to those used in the 1975 Maritime well water survey (Dyck et al., 1976a).

In the field laboratory the samples were analyzed for U, Rn, F, dissolved O₂, Eh, pH, alkalinity, and conductivity. U was determined by the fluorescent method without removal of quenching components (Smith and Lynch, 1969). Rn was determined by degassing a 120-mL aliquot into a ZnS (silver activated) cell and measuring the alpha-particle emanation rate with a counter (Dyck, 1969). Alkalinity was determined by titrating a 25-mL aliquot to a pH of 4.65 with 0.01 M H₂SO₄ (Thomas and Lynch, 1960). F, O₂, Eh, pH, and conductivity were measured electrochemically by specific ion or other electrodes.

CH₄ and He were determined by a mass spectrometer technique developed by Dyck et al. (1976b) in the Geochemistry Subdivision Laboratories, Geological Survey of Canada. In this method a 25-mL aliquot from a completely filled, tightly sealed, acidified sample in a glass bottle was admitted to an inlet system under high vacuum; the gases were extracted by boiling for a few minutes, dried by passage through dry ice-acetone traps, and admitted to the analyzer of the mass spectrometer. The observed ion currents were converted to partial pressures by comparison with standard samples in the case of CH₄ and atmospheric air in the case of He. The routine precision at 95% probability level varied from ±10% to ±30% depending upon levels of concentration.

Zn, Cu, Pb, Mn, Fe, Ni, Na, K, Ca, and Mg, were analyzed by atomic absorption spectroscopy using a Perkin Elmer 306 and an automated data acquisition facility devised by Bristow (1975). Aliquots were taken from the acidified samples in the glass bottles and analyzed directly for Zn, Mn, Cu, Fe, Pb, with a Perkin Elmer 303 and a modified 2-aminoperimidine method described by Jones and Stephen (1973).

**Sampling and Analytical Controls and Errors**

Each set of 20 samples comprised one distilled deionized (blank) water sample, one reference solution, one field duplicate, and 17 routine samples. Nominal concentrations of dissolved gases in these samples were calculated assuming 20°C water in equilibrium with atmospheric air at an altitude of 900 m (average estimated temperature and altitude at Eastend, where samples were prepared). For ionic species, standards were prepared by dissolving weighed amounts of salts in acidic solutions.

The analytical results of these control samples showed that sampling plus analytical errors of samples with concentrations well above the detection limits were small compared with data variability of unknown samples. The paired Student's t values from analytical results of 51 field duplicate pairs showed that none of the pairs were significantly different at the 97% probability level. Rn in seawater is used as a standard reference, and by comparison with standard samples in the laboratory of the Geochemistry Subdivision Laboratories, Geological Survey of Canada. In this method a 25-mL aliquot from a completely filled, tightly sealed, acidified sample in a glass bottle was admitted to an inlet system under high vacuum; the gases were extracted by boiling for a few minutes, dried by passage through dry ice-acetone traps, and admitted to the analyzer of the mass spectrometer. The observed ion currents were converted to partial pressures by comparison with standard samples in the case of CH₄ and atmospheric air in the case of He. The routine precision at 95% probability level varied from ±10% to ±30% depending upon levels of concentration.

Zn, Cu, Pb, Mn, Fe, Ni, Na, K, Ca, and Mg, were analyzed by atomic absorption spectroscopy using a Perkin Elmer 306 and an automated data acquisition facility devised by Bristow (1975). Aliquots were taken from the acidified samples in the glass bottles and analyzed directly for Zn, Mn, Cu, Fe, Pb, with a Perkin Elmer 303 and a modified 2-aminoperimidine method described by Jones and Stephen (1973).

**Sampling and Analytical Controls and Errors**

Each set of 20 samples comprised one distilled deionized (blank) water sample, one reference solution, one field duplicate, and 17 routine samples. Nominal concentrations of dissolved gases in these samples were calculated assuming 20°C water in equilibrium with atmospheric air at an altitude of 900 m (average estimated temperature and altitude at Eastend, where samples were prepared). For ionic species, standards were prepared by dissolving weighed amounts of salts in acidic solutions.

The analytical results of these control samples showed that sampling plus analytical errors of samples with concentrations well above the detection limits were small compared with data variability of unknown samples. The paired Student's t values from analytical results of 51 field duplicate pairs showed that none of the pairs were significantly different at the 97% probability level. Rn in seawater is used as a standard reference, and by comparison with standard samples in the laboratory of the Geochemistry Subdivision Laboratories, Geological Survey of Canada.
Results and Discussion

Factors Responsible for Variations in Element Content

Summary statistics of 23 well and spring water variables are given in Table 1. The skewness of the untransformed and log-transformed data indicates that most of the variables tend toward lognormal distributions. Although not shown here, the spring waters are generally somewhat lower in element content but higher in dissolved oxygen than well waters. When the data are grouped according to the type of drawing system, rock type, pressure system, type of tank, and type of pipe, certain trends, known from other groundwater surveys, are apparent. But in general, these criteria are not as effective in dividing the water into homogenous groups as one might anticipate. The regional nature of the data, the mixing of waters from different aquifers, and the effect evapotransport seems to have on trace element distributions, are probably responsible for the inhomogeneity in the grouped data.

The higher O₂ content of springs compared with that of wells is explained by the fact that springs occur in the hills where waters are circulating more actively. The drop in the Rn content of waters from open wells or springs compared with waters from pressure systems is probably due to loss of Rn to the atmosphere. It seems odd, though, that He does not exhibit as pronounced a drop as Rn in these wells. In fact, the well water samples from hand-pumped systems contain essentially as much He as the well water samples from pressure systems. It is believed that a sampling problem is responsible for this apparent discrepancy. It was found that the hand-pump well samples are also much higher in dissolved ionic species, indicating that this particular set of samples came from wells that were tapping more mineralized aquifers. Such waters usually come from greater depth or have spent more time underground. The correlation between He and depth is strong and positive (r = 0.61), and between Rn and depth it is essentially random (r = -0.01). Similarly, the correlation between He and conductivity, and Rn and conductivity, is 0.32 and 0.03, respectively. Waters from the Cypress Hills Formation have the highest O₂ and Rn and the lowest He concentrations, indicating actively circulating younger waters. In contrast, waters from the older Bearpaw Formation have the lowest content of O₂ and the highest content of He and are characterized by generally higher concentrations of trace and major elements. The waters from the two formations of marine origin, namely, Bearpaw and Eastend, contain very high concentrations of Na, Cl, and SO₄.

When the results are grouped by type of pressure system, type of tank, and type of pipe using only samples from the Bearpaw Formation, trends are evident, but they are weak and inconclusive. For example, lower O₂, U, and Rn and higher He and conductivity are probably indicators of older, deeper waters rather than a precharged pressure system. The same reason probably also explains the variations of trace elements when the data are sorted by tank type—except for Zn; the higher Zn values in waters from galvanized tanks may in fact be due to the Zn coating in these tanks. Similarly, systems with Fe pipes contain more Fe, and systems with Cu pipes contain more Cu in solution. But the increases are not so pronounced as to conclude that they are in fact due to variations in plumbing materials. Other causes, such as changes in rock formation, rainfall, different aquifers, and regional drainage patterns, appear to be the dominant causes of variations in the element patterns of the groundwaters in the area.

Regional Distribution of Elements

The regional distribution of all minor and most trace elements in well and spring waters is very similar to that of conductivity of the waters, shown in Figure 2. The distributions of CH₄ and He are shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. These contour maps were produced by a computer mapping package (APPMAP) developed by D. J. Ellwood in the Resource Geochemistry Subdivision, Geological Survey of Canada. This package makes use of an Applicon plotter and Applicon library software residing on a CDC Cyber 730 computer at the Computer Science Centre of the Department of Energy Mines and Resources. APPMAP interpolates from the irregularly spaced reconnaissance data grid to a regular 1600-m² data grid. The interpolation is in the form of a moving average where weighting is by an inverse distance function (1/d³) using the nearest five data points. The effect of this moving average is to filter out minor irregularities in the spatial data and emphasize the broader scale and regional features. For the element maps APPMAP erased the contours in areas greater than 4 km from the nearest sample site.

The most obvious regional feature of the conductivity map is the rise in conductivity in lower, drier areas of the survey. Topography and climate combine to effect an increase in concentration of the dissolved constituents in the groundwaters. Evidently, evapotransport and aquifers of different ages play a role in the enrichment of dissolved solids in the well waters of the region. However, there are notable exceptions to this general trend. Radon, for example, is higher in the waters over and near the Cypress Hills, an observation that confirms the radioactive nature of the Cypress Hills Formation and the radioactive lignites from the subjacent Ravenscrag Formation. Ra cannot survive the journey into the lowlands because of its relatively short half-life of 3.8 days. Radium, the immediate parent of Rn, with its 1600-year half-life, has time to survive the passage into the lowlands but is readily adsorbed on the soils near the source. Uranium, on the other hand, is stable and soluble in surface and near surface (i.e., oxidizing) waters and hence is found in greater concentration the farther the water moves from the hills.

The dissolved oxygen and Eh patterns are similar to that of Rn; the waters on and near the hills are richer in dissolved O₂ than the waters farther away. Younger, more actively circulating waters are responsible for this feature as well as for the generally lower pH in this region. The He and CH₄ patterns (Figures 3 and 4) are similar to each other but differ from the
TABLE 1. Statistical Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Detection Limit</th>
<th>Minimum*</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>metres</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rn</td>
<td>pCi/L</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>4135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.O.</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eh</td>
<td>mv</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.C.</td>
<td>microm/cm</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>15000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alka</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>ppb</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>nL/L</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>34000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>mL/L</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>ppb</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>198.5</td>
<td>75721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>ppb</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>ppb</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>174.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>ppb</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>497.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1500.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>ppb</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>9637.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>ppb</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>243815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>3426.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>94.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>686.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>704.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D.O., dissolved oxygen; S.C., specific conductivity; and alka, total alkalinity.

*Minimum value entered is half the detection limit of the analytical method when element is below detection.

---

**CONDUCTIVITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MICROMOS</th>
<th>NTILE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15000</td>
<td>MAX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4520</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3630</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2850</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1860</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1160</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>MIN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 2. Conductivity of well waters from the Cypress Hills region.
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of Cypress Hills Well Water Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arithmetic</th>
<th>Geometric</th>
<th>Log Geometric</th>
<th>Log Arithmetic</th>
<th>Log Geometric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>s.d.</td>
<td>Skew</td>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>49.76</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360.3</td>
<td>339.3</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>22.23</td>
<td>211.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>387.6</td>
<td>104.3</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>364.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1451.</td>
<td>1195.</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>22.85</td>
<td>1114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>7.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>372.7</td>
<td>165.4</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>338.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>28.77</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>81.64</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551.</td>
<td>838.</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>29.13</td>
<td>193.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1026.</td>
<td>3216.</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>53.23</td>
<td>204.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>13.12</td>
<td>199.74</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>954.</td>
<td>3256.</td>
<td>14.63</td>
<td>304.05</td>
<td>196.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>196.53</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>86.93</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>15.24</td>
<td>264.85</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>82.72</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334.</td>
<td>791.</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>51.74</td>
<td>64.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2330.</td>
<td>11209.</td>
<td>15.96</td>
<td>295.60</td>
<td>466.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191.0</td>
<td>253.4</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>39.14</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>51.92</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114.1</td>
<td>110.2</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>73.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>15.84</td>
<td>35.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 3. Methane concentrations in well waters from the Cypress Hills region.
distribution patterns of trace elements. Anomalies for these gases coincide with depth of water wells to some extent as well as with the frequency of drilled exploration holes. The exploration drill hole density is considerably higher over the producing oil and gas fields than over nonproductive areas (Buller, 1972). Thus leakage from these holes into aquifers tapped by domestic wells could explain the anomalies. And deeper wells, being closer to the hydrocarbon pools, would be richer in these gases. Whether the presence of exploration drill holes can account completely for the observed He and CH₄ anomalies is open to debate. However, it is reasonable to assume that nature provides natural vents for these gases in the form of faults and fracture zones. The north-south and east-west trends in the anomaly patterns of these gases do suggest major regional structural features. In fact, the major north trending gas anomalies run strikingly parallel to the Eastend Syncline of the Late Mesozoic, and the east-west trending anomalies in the northern half of the area coincide roughly with the Ponteix Syncline near the eastern border of the survey area (Kamen-Kaye, 1953). These gases appear to leak to the surface along permeable tectonic features, both from the basement and from oil and gas fields at depth along fault and fracture zones. The He and CH₄ anomaly patterns also coincide with the rectilinear patterns of the Shaunavon Formation (Christopher, 1964). However, as shown in Figure 1, the strong north-south trending pattern at about longitude 108°45' coincides with known oil fields (Simpson, 1982; Pearce, 1983). Similarly, the anomalous sites in the northwest corner of the survey area are close to the margin of the commercial gas fields north of Maple Creek. In the southwestern corner of the survey area, wells were scarce, resulting in gaps in the sample density pattern, but the anomalies south of Govenlock, near the U.S. border, are of the same magnitude as those in the commercial fields and hence could be of considerable interest for oil and gas exploration. The wells in this corner also contain high Na and bicarbonate concentrations. Soft waters are usually encountered at greater depth, and there is indeed a noticeable correlation between depth, Na, and bicarbonate over the whole region.

The trace elements Cu, Pb, Ni, Zn, Mn, and F, like U, show a positive correlation with the major elements, suggesting that evapotransport has an influence on these elements. However, sporadic point anomalies of these trace elements suggest local contamination. Occasionally, when taps were opened, pipes under pressure would rattle. This rattle could have dislodged fine particles of scale, rich in trace elements common in the waters of the area or in the materials of plumbing systems. Nickel, not a common metal in the waters or the plumbing systems, gives an unusually strong coherent north-east trending anomaly in the Gull Lake area and a smaller

Fig. 4. Helium concentrations in well waters from the Cypress Hills region.
TABLE 2a. Factor Matrix of Cypress Hills Well Water Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Factor 1</th>
<th>Factor 2</th>
<th>Factor 3</th>
<th>Factor 4</th>
<th>Factor 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>-0.18493</td>
<td>0.66370</td>
<td>0.04151</td>
<td>0.00253</td>
<td>-0.14734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rn</td>
<td>-0.04764</td>
<td>-0.14631</td>
<td>0.36157</td>
<td>-0.33230</td>
<td>0.42116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.O.*</td>
<td>-0.25636</td>
<td>-0.53662</td>
<td>0.39435</td>
<td>0.11721</td>
<td>-0.27512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eh</td>
<td>-0.04624</td>
<td>-0.24541</td>
<td>0.042289</td>
<td>0.06498</td>
<td>-0.20958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.C.*</td>
<td>0.80259</td>
<td>0.40453</td>
<td>0.26496</td>
<td>0.01541</td>
<td>-0.06283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>-0.45085</td>
<td>0.45629</td>
<td>0.23839</td>
<td>0.23442</td>
<td>-0.23209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alka*</td>
<td>0.34027</td>
<td>0.64217</td>
<td>0.21416</td>
<td>0.05297</td>
<td>-0.10834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.55317</td>
<td>-0.41594</td>
<td>0.36143</td>
<td>0.23725</td>
<td>0.54373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.04598</td>
<td>0.30900</td>
<td>0.32043</td>
<td>0.38725</td>
<td>0.07742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄</td>
<td>0.81892</td>
<td>0.18824</td>
<td>0.16935</td>
<td>-0.20265</td>
<td>-0.18413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>-0.09814</td>
<td>0.84698</td>
<td>0.05658</td>
<td>0.02636</td>
<td>-0.01033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>-0.17336</td>
<td>0.39458</td>
<td>-0.16228</td>
<td>0.46206</td>
<td>0.45658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.33144</td>
<td>-0.18020</td>
<td>-0.28288</td>
<td>0.51504</td>
<td>0.25503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.12433</td>
<td>-0.46234</td>
<td>0.16424</td>
<td>0.44788</td>
<td>-0.14543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.16057</td>
<td>-0.12570</td>
<td>-0.31627</td>
<td>0.63081</td>
<td>-0.27513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.44929</td>
<td>-0.21096</td>
<td>-0.27405</td>
<td>0.25948</td>
<td>0.33440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.64598</td>
<td>0.24264</td>
<td>0.34123</td>
<td>0.26623</td>
<td>0.03952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.56756</td>
<td>0.27093</td>
<td>-0.49483</td>
<td>-0.17258</td>
<td>0.17165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.27839</td>
<td>0.36891</td>
<td>-0.59567</td>
<td>-0.03646</td>
<td>0.04429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.48693</td>
<td>0.74247</td>
<td>0.28005</td>
<td>0.00040</td>
<td>0.21642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.67261</td>
<td>0.09159</td>
<td>-0.07920</td>
<td>0.14116</td>
<td>0.21642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.77161</td>
<td>-0.50975</td>
<td>-0.04468</td>
<td>0.13604</td>
<td>0.03921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.79500</td>
<td>-0.46323</td>
<td>0.02225</td>
<td>-0.14792</td>
<td>0.01386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eigenvalue 5.17275 4.38031 2.01895 1.74235 1.37691
Percentage 22.5 19.0 8.8 7.6 6.0

Matrix uses principal factor, no iterations, estimated communalities = 1, log transformed data, 749 samples, and 10 factor model.

*see table 1 for abbreviations

Equally strong anomaly near the western border. One can speculate that the Gull Lake anomaly resulted from spills of Ni-bearing crude oil or waters associated with high-Ni crude. Hodgson (1954) reports higher than average Ni contents in some Canadian oils, particularly those from shallower depth and with lower API gravity.

Several of the anomalous F areas coincide with deep wells, others with Ni and/or Pb. The positive correlations of F with He, CH₄, Na, and Cl also suggest a deep origin for much of the F in well waters.

Element Associations

The magnitude of the CH₄ anomalies, up to 132 mL/L, should cause one to speculate as to its source, namely, marsh gas or hydrocarbon deposits. There are geochemical indicators that help to resolve the marsh gas versus reservoir CH₄ problem. As indicated in the introduction, C isotope analyses and higher C homologues are being used for this purpose. However, common trace and minor elements and He concentrations in groundwaters also help to differentiate between these two types of CH₄ sources. In the Cypress Hills well water samples up to 30 variables were determined. Twenty-three of these are listed in Table 1. These can help directly, or indirectly, in solving the nature or source of CH₄. A correlation matrix of this many variables is difficult to analyze, but a factor matrix is manageable (Table 2a). The principal component matrix was obtained from log-transformed data

TABLE 2b. Correlation Matrix of Most Significant Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>S.C.</th>
<th>Alka</th>
<th>He</th>
<th>CH₄</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Ca</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>0.606</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.C.</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alka</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data in lower left triangle are probabilities that correlation has arisen by chance
using a 10-factor model with principal factors without iteration or rotation. Factors one and two represent two types of water. Factor one characterizes shallow "hard" waters rich in Ca, Mg, K, SO₄, and Cl. Factor two represents deep "soft" waters rich in NaHCO₃ and Na₂CO₃. Both He and CH favor factor two. The positive correlation of He and CH with depth and with each other (Table 2b) is probably the most significant indicator of the source of CH. We know that the main source of He is from basement rocks, because of their high U and Th content relative to the overlying sedimentary rocks in the area. This He dilutes quickly to atmospheric levels near the surface because of its great mobility. Methane generated near the surface will therefore not correlate with He, except in some circumstances when He from depth migrates up a fault that is covered by a CH₄-generating peat bog. However, such situations are easily recognized by examining the topography. In the study area no bogs were encountered. The weaker correlation of CH₄ and He depth relative to He and depth leads one to the conclusion that the source of CH₄ is indeed basement rocks and the source of CH₄ the sedimentary rocks above.

Factor three represents an oxidizing environment with respect to U, Fe, and Mn and hence near-surface conditions. In factor four the association of CH₄ with the heavy metals can be explained by assuming that some CH₄ is associated with heavier hydrocarbons, which dissolved in the groundwater, have complexed the heavy metals. Factor five suggests that some CH₄ is associated with U minerals such as fluorapatite.

Conclusion

Regional surveys of domestic well waters can be of use in delineating areas with proven and potential economic oil and gas deposits. Potential correlations of CH₄ with depth and with He, and characteristic associations with trace and minor elements, help to distinguish between reservoir CH₄ and marsh gas.
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